

SENATE BILL NO. 350

INTRODUCED BY GRIMES, PETERSON, BALES, MATTHEWS, MOOD, RIPLEY, TASH, F. THOMAS

A BILL FOR AN ACT ENTITLED: "AN ACT LIMITING THE REMEDY FOR AN AGENCY'S FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROVISIONS OF THE MONTANA ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT; AMENDING SECTION 75-1-201, MCA; AND PROVIDING AN IMMEDIATE EFFECTIVE DATE."

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF MONTANA:

Section 1. Section 75-1-201, MCA, is amended to read:

"75-1-201. General directions -- environmental impact statements -- judicial remedies. (1) The legislature authorizes and directs that, to the fullest extent possible:

(a) the policies, regulations, and laws of the state must be interpreted and administered in accordance with the policies set forth in parts 1 through 3;

(b) under this part, all agencies of the state, except the legislature and except as provided in subsection (2), shall:

(i) use a systematic, interdisciplinary approach that will ensure:

(A) the integrated use of the natural and social sciences and the environmental design arts in planning and in decisionmaking that may have an impact on the human environment; and

(B) that in any environmental review that is not subject to subsection (1)(b)(iv), when an agency considers alternatives, the alternative analysis will be in compliance with the provisions of subsections (1)(b)(iv)(C)(I) through (1)(b)(iv)(C)(III) and, if requested by the project sponsor or if determined by the agency to be necessary, subsection (1)(b)(iv)(C)(IV);

(ii) identify and develop methods and procedures that will ensure that presently unquantified environmental amenities and values may be given appropriate consideration in decisionmaking, along with economic and technical considerations;

(iii) identify and develop methods and procedures that will ensure that state government actions that may impact the human environment are evaluated for regulatory restrictions on private property, as provided in subsection (1)(b)(iv)(D);

(iv) include in each recommendation or report on proposals for projects, programs, and other major

1 actions of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment a detailed statement
2 on:

3 (A) the environmental impact of the proposed action;

4 (B) any adverse environmental effects that cannot be avoided if the proposal is implemented;

5 (C) alternatives to the proposed action. An analysis of any alternative included in the environmental
6 review must comply with the following criteria:

7 (I) any alternative proposed must be reasonable, in that the alternative must be achievable under
8 current technology and the alternative must be economically feasible as determined solely by the economic
9 viability for similar projects having similar conditions and physical locations and determined without regard to
10 the economic strength of the specific project sponsor;

11 (II) the agency proposing the alternative shall consult with the project sponsor regarding any proposed
12 alternative, and the agency shall give due weight and consideration to the project sponsor's comments regarding
13 the proposed alternative;

14 (III) if the project sponsor believes that an alternative is not reasonable as provided in subsection
15 (1)(b)(iv)(C)(I), the project sponsor may request a review by the appropriate board, if any, of the agency's
16 determination regarding the reasonableness of the alternative. The appropriate board may, at its discretion,
17 submit an advisory recommendation to the agency regarding the issue. The agency may not charge the project
18 sponsor for any of its activities associated with any review under this section. The period of time between the
19 request for a review and completion of a review under this subsection may not be included for the purposes of
20 determining compliance with the time limits established for environmental review in 75-1-208.

21 (IV) the agency shall complete a meaningful no-action alternative analysis. The no-action alternative
22 analysis must include the projected beneficial and adverse environmental, social, and economic impact of the
23 project's noncompletion.

24 (D) any regulatory impacts on private property rights, including whether alternatives that reduce,
25 minimize, or eliminate the regulation of private property rights have been analyzed. The analysis in this
26 subsection (1)(b)(iv)(D) need not be prepared if the proposed action does not involve the regulation of private
27 property.

28 (E) the relationship between local short-term uses of the human environment and the maintenance and
29 enhancement of long-term productivity;

30 (F) any irreversible and irretrievable commitments of resources that would be involved in the proposed

1 action if it is implemented; and

2 (G) the details of the beneficial aspects of the proposed project, both short-term and long-term, and the
3 economic advantages and disadvantages of the proposal;

4 (v) in accordance with the criteria set forth in subsection (1)(b)(iv)(C), study, develop, and describe
5 appropriate alternatives to recommend courses of action in any proposal that involves unresolved conflicts
6 concerning alternative uses of available resources;

7 (vi) recognize the national and long-range character of environmental problems and, when consistent
8 with the policies of the state, lend appropriate support to initiatives, resolutions, and programs designed to
9 maximize national cooperation in anticipating and preventing a decline in the quality of the world environment;

10 (vii) make available to counties, municipalities, institutions, and individuals advice and information useful
11 in restoring, maintaining, and enhancing the quality of the environment;

12 (viii) initiate and use ecological information in the planning and development of resource-oriented
13 projects; and

14 (ix) assist the environmental quality council established by 5-16-101;

15 (c) prior to making any detailed statement as provided in subsection (1)(b)(iv), the responsible state
16 official shall consult with and obtain the comments of any state agency that has jurisdiction by law or special
17 expertise with respect to any environmental impact involved. The responsible state official shall also consult with
18 and obtain comments from any state agency with respect to any regulation of private property involved. Copies
19 of the statement and the comments and views of the appropriate state, federal, and local agencies that are
20 authorized to develop and enforce environmental standards must be made available to the governor, the
21 environmental quality council, and the public and must accompany the proposal through the existing agency
22 review processes.

23 (d) a transfer of an ownership interest in a lease, permit, license, certificate, or other entitlement for use
24 or permission to act by an agency, either singly or in combination with other state agencies, does not trigger
25 review under subsection (1)(b)(iv) if there is not a material change in terms or conditions of the entitlement or
26 unless otherwise provided by law.

27 (2) The department of public service regulation, in the exercise of its regulatory authority over rates and
28 charges of railroads, motor carriers, and public utilities, is exempt from the provisions of parts 1 through 3.

29 (3) (a) In any action challenging or seeking review of an agency's decision that a statement pursuant
30 to subsection (1)(b)(iv) is not required or that the statement is inadequate, the burden of proof is on the person

1 challenging the decision. Except as provided in subsection (3)(b), in a challenge to the adequacy of a statement,
2 a court may not consider any issue relating to the adequacy or content of the agency's environmental review
3 document or evidence that was not first presented to the agency for the agency's consideration prior to the
4 agency's decision. A court may not set aside the agency's decision unless it finds that there is clear and
5 convincing evidence that the decision was arbitrary or capricious or not in compliance with law.

6 (b) When new, material, and significant evidence or issues relating to the adequacy or content of the
7 agency's environmental review document are presented to the district court that had not previously been
8 presented to the agency for its consideration, the district court shall remand the new evidence or issue relating
9 to the adequacy or content of the agency's environmental review document back to the agency for the agency's
10 consideration and an opportunity to modify its findings of fact and administrative decision before the district court
11 considers the evidence or issue relating to the adequacy or content of the agency's environmental review
12 document within the administrative record under review. Immaterial or insignificant evidence or issues relating
13 to the adequacy or content of the agency's environmental review document may not be remanded to the agency.
14 The district court shall review the agency's findings and decision to determine whether they are supported by
15 substantial, credible evidence within the administrative record under review.

16 (4) To the extent that the requirements of subsections (1)(b)(iv)(C)(I) and (1)(b)(iv)(C)(III) are
17 inconsistent with federal requirements, the requirements of subsections (1)(b)(iv)(C)(I) and (1)(b)(iv)(C)(III) do
18 not apply to an environmental review that is being prepared by a state agency pursuant to this part and a federal
19 agency pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act or to an environmental review that is being prepared
20 by a state agency to comply with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act.

21 (5) (a) The agency may not withhold, deny, or impose conditions on any permit or other authority to act
22 based on parts 1 through 3 of this chapter.

23 (b) Nothing in this subsection (5) prevents a project sponsor and an agency from mutually developing
24 measures that may, at the request of a project sponsor, be incorporated into a permit or other authority to act.

25 (c) Parts 1 through 3 of this chapter do not confer authority to an agency that is a project sponsor to
26 modify a proposed project or action.

27 (6) (a) A challenge to an agency action under this part may only be brought against a final agency action
28 and may only be brought in district court or in federal court, whichever is appropriate. Any action or proceeding
29 challenging a final agency action alleging failure to comply with or inadequate compliance with a requirement
30 under this part must be brought within 60 days of the action that is the subject of the challenge.

(b) Any action or proceeding under subsection (6)(a) must take precedence over other cases or matters in the district court unless otherwise provided by law.

(c) The remedy in any action brought for failure to comply with, or for inadequate compliance with, a requirement of this part is limited to remand to the agency. UPON ANY REMAND, THE TIME LIMITS ESTABLISHED FOR ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW IN 75-1-208 ARE APPLICABLE FROM THE DATE OF THE ORDER FOR THE LEVEL OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW ORDERED BY THE COURT. A permit, license, lease, or other authorization issued by an agency must remain valid and may not be enjoined, revoked, modified, or suspended pending the completion by an agency of any environmental review that may be remanded by a court UNLESS THE COURT OR AN AGENCY FINDS A VIOLATION OF AN ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARD IMPOSED BY STATUTE OR AGENCY RULE.

(7) The director of the agency responsible for the determination or recommendation shall endorse in writing any determination of significance made under subsection (1)(b)(iv) or any recommendation that a determination of significance be made.

(8) A project sponsor may request a review of the significance determination or recommendation made under subsection (7) by the appropriate board, if any. The appropriate board may, at its discretion, submit an advisory recommendation to the agency regarding the issue. The period of time between the request for a review and completion of a review under this subsection may not be included for the purposes of determining compliance with the time limits established for environmental review in 75-1-208."

NEW SECTION. **Section 2. Effective date.** [This act] is effective on passage and approval.

- END -